

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Before Commissioners:

Ruth Y. Goldway, Chairman;
Robert G. Taub, Vice Chairman;
Mark Acton;
Tony Hammond; and
Nanci E. Langley

Competitive Product Prices
Priority Mail
Priority Mail Contract 62

Docket No. MC2013-56

Competitive Product Prices
Priority Mail Contract 62 (MC2013-56)
Negotiated Service Agreement

Docket No. CP2013-74

ORDER ADDING PRIORITY MAIL CONTRACT 62 TO THE
COMPETITIVE PRODUCT LIST

(Issued July 23, 2013)

I. INTRODUCTION

The Postal Service seeks to add a new product identified as Priority Mail Contract 62 to the competitive product list.¹ For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the Request.

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 62 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, July 12, 2013 (Request).

II. BACKGROUND

On July 12, 2013, in accordance with 39 U.S.C. § 3642 and 39 C.F.R. § 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed the Request, along with supporting documents. In the Request, the Postal Service asserts that Priority Mail Contract 62 is a competitive product that establishes rates “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. § 3632(b)(3). *Id.* at 1. The Postal Service further asserts that the prices and classification underlying the contract are supported by Governors’ Decision No. 11-6.² Among the supporting documents, the Postal Service included a copy of Governors’ Decision No. 11-6, a contract related to the proposed new product, proposed changes to the competitive product list, a statement supporting the Request, and a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a). In addition, the Postal Service submitted an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of Governors’ Decision No. 11-6, the contract, customer-identifying information, and related financial information filed under seal. Request, Attachment F.³

On July 15, 2013, the Commission issued an order establishing the two dockets, appointing a Public Representative, and providing interested persons with an opportunity to comment.⁴

² Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Establishment of Prices and Classifications for Domestic Competitive Agreements, Outbound International Competitive Agreements, Inbound International Competitive Agreements, and Other Non-Published Competitive Rates, March 22, 2011 (Governors’ Decision No. 11-6).

³ In its application for non-public treatment of materials, the Postal Service asks the Commission to protect customer-identifying information from public disclosure indefinitely. *Id.* at 7. The Commission has consistently denied similar requests for indefinite protection. See, e.g., Docket Nos. MC2011-1 and CP2011-2, Order No. 563, Order Approving Express Mail Contract 9 Negotiated Service Agreement, October 20, 2010, at 6-7.

⁴ Order No. 1778, Notice and Order Concerning the Addition of Priority Mail Contract 62 to the Competitive Product List, July 15, 2013.

III. COMMENTS

The Public Representative filed comments on July 19, 2013.⁵ No other interested person submitted comments.

In preparing her comments, the Public Representative reviewed the contract, the Statement of Supporting Justification, and the financial data and model filed under seal. *Id.* at 2. The Public Representative concludes that Priority Mail Contract 62 should be categorized as a competitive product and added to the competitive product list because she believes the Postal Service's assertions about the contract appear reasonable. *Id.* at 2-3. The Public Representative states that the contract should meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a) during the first contract year, based on the financial data filed by the Postal Service. *Id.* She notes that the contract contains a provision that allows for periodic price adjustments, which she believes will help the contract meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a) in subsequent contract years. *Id.* She further notes that the Commission will have the opportunity to review cost, revenue, and volume data associated with the contract as part of each year's Annual Compliance Determination to ensure continued compliance.

IV. COMMISSION ANALYSIS

The Commission has reviewed the Request, the instant contract, the supporting data filed under seal, and the Public Representative's comments.

Product list requirements. The Commission's statutory responsibilities when evaluating the Request include assigning Priority Mail Contract 62 to either the market dominant or competitive product list. See 39 U.S.C. § 3642(b)(1); 39 C.F.R. § 3020.34. In addition, the Commission must consider the availability and nature of private sector enterprises engaged in delivering the product, the views of those who use the product,

⁵ Public Representative Comments on Postal Service Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 62 to Competitive Product List, July 19, 2013.

and the likely impact on small business concerns. See 39 U.S.C. § 3642(b)(3); 39 C.F.R. § 3020.32(f), (g), and (h).

The Postal Service asserts that it provides Priority Mail service in a highly competitive market, that other shippers who provide similar services constrain its bargaining position, and that it can therefore neither raise prices nor decrease service, quality, or output without risking the loss of business to competitors. Request, Attachment D at 2. The Postal Service states that the contract partner supports the Request, that expedited shipping is widely available from private firms, and that the Postal Service is unaware of any small business concerns that could offer comparable services to the contract partner. *Id.* at 3.

Having considered the relevant statutory and regulatory requirements and the Postal Service's supporting justification, the Commission finds that Priority Mail Contract 62 is appropriately classified as competitive and is added to the competitive product list.

Cost considerations. Because Priority Mail Contract 62 is a competitive product, the Postal Service must also show that the contract covers its attributable costs, contributes to the Postal Service's institutional costs, and does not cause any market dominant products to subsidize competitive products. 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a); 39 C.F.R. § 3015.5.

As part of its Request, the Postal Service submitted a certified statement that the contract complies with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a). Request, Attachment E. In addition, the Postal Service filed supporting revenue and cost data showing that the contract is expected to cover its costs.

Other considerations. By its terms, the contract becomes effective 1 business day after the date that the Commission issues all necessary regulatory approvals. Request, Attachment B at 2. The contract is scheduled to expire 3 years after the effective date, unless, among other things, either party terminates the contract with 30 days' written notice to the other party. *Id.* at 3.

The contract also contains a provision that allows the parties to extend the contract for two 90-day periods if a successor agreement is being prepared and the Commission is notified at least 7 days prior to the contract expiring. *Id.*⁶ During the extension periods, prices will increase by an amount determined in accordance with Article I.F. of the contract. *Id.* The Commission finds the two potential 90-day extension periods are reasonable because: (1) prices automatically increase in the extension period, making it likely that the contract will continue to cover its attributable costs; and (2) the extension(s) should assist the Postal Service's contract negotiations by providing additional flexibility.

If the instant contract is terminated prior to the scheduled expiration date, the Postal Service shall promptly notify the Commission.

Within 30 days after the instant contract terminates, the Postal Service shall file the annual (contract year) costs, volumes, and revenues disaggregated by rate category and zone associated with the contract.

In conclusion, the Commission approves Priority Mail Contract 62 as a new product. The revision to the competitive product list appears below the signature of this Order and is effective immediately.

⁶ As the Commission noted in Order No. 1778 at 3, n.2, in Docket Nos. MC2013-54 and CP2013-70, the Postal Service clarified that identical language in Priority Mail Contract 60 "contemplates the Postal Service filing any notices of extension with the Commission at least one week prior to the 3-year expiration date or the extended expiration date." See Docket Nos. MC2013-54 and CP2013-70, Order No. 1773, Order Adding Priority Mail Contract 60 to the Competitive Product List, July 8, 2013; see also Docket Nos. MC2013-54 and CP2013-70, Response of the United States Postal Service to Chairman's Information Request No. 1, July 1, 2013, at question 2.

V. ORDERING PARAGRAPHS

It is ordered:

1. Priority Mail Contract 62 (MC2013-56 and CP2013-74) is added to the competitive product list as a new product under Negotiated Service Agreements, Domestic. The revision to the competitive product list appears below the signature of this Order and is effective immediately.
2. If the instant contract is extended pursuant to the contract terms, the Postal Service shall notify the Commission at least 7 days prior to the contract's expiration.
3. The Postal Service shall notify the Commission if the instant contract terminates prior to the scheduled expiration date.
4. Within 30 days after the instant contract terminates, the Postal Service shall file the annual (contract year) costs, volumes, and revenues disaggregated by rate category and zone associated with the contract.
5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication in the *Federal Register* of an updated product list reflecting the change made in this Order.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove
Secretary

CHANGE IN MAIL CLASSIFICATION SCHEDULE
CHANGE IN PRODUCT LIST

The following material represents changes to the product list codified in Appendix A to 39 C.F.R. part 3020, subpart A—Mail Classification Schedule. These changes reflect the Commission's order in Docket Nos. MC2013-56 and CP2013-74. The Commission uses two main conventions when making changes to the product list. New text is underlined. Deleted text is struck through.

Part B—Competitive Products

2000 Competitive Product List

* * * * *

Negotiated Service Agreements

Domestic

* * * * *

Priority Mail Contract 62

* * * * *